



**TIERGARTEN
SCHÖNBRUNN**
www.zoovienna.at

For whom it may concern!

Maxingstraße 13 b
A-1130 Wien, Austria
Tel. +43-1-877 92 94-0
Fax +43-1-877 96 41
office@zoovienna.at
www.zoovienna.at

Pretoria - Kwalata, January 28, 2010

Concerning: Cites Listing of African Elephant

Dear Sirs,

as Chair of the GEMP (Global Elephant Management Program) I want inform you about a statement made during the International Elephant Conservation & Research Symposium held in South-Africa by about 90 delegates from Africa, Asia, Europe and the United States.

Please find attached this statement and the information about the whole issue concerning African Elephants and Ivory issues!

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Harald M. Schwammer

Deputy Director, Zoological Curator – Vienna Zoo
Chair of African Elephant EEP
Chair of GEMP - Global Elephant Management Program
Board member of Committee of IEF - International Elephant Foundation
EEP Studbook Keeper for African Elephants

Vienna Zoo - Tiergarten Schoenbrunn

Maxingstrasse 13b
A-1130 Vienna, Austria, EUROPE
phone: +43 1 877 92 94-266; fax: +43 1 877 96 41
email: h.schwammer@zoovienna.at
www.zoovienna.at
www.gemp.at
www.aserc.org

The delegates of the International Elephant Foundation Conservation and Research Symposium 2010 discussed the upcoming proposals on the African Elephant and ivory trading that have been presented for the next CITES Cop15, which is due to take place in Doha, Qatar on March 13th to 25th 2010.

The proposals are as follows:

CoP15 Proposal 6 (Congo, Ghana, Kenya, Liberia, Mali, Rwanda and Sierra Leone) To amend the footnote governing the nine-year resting period so that it applies to ALL elephant populations, to extend the resting period to twenty years, and to eliminate export of worked ivory products from Namibia and Zimbabwe.

CoP15 Proposal 4 (United Republic of Tanzania) To transfer the population of the United Republic of Tanzania from Appendix I to Appendix II, and a one-off sale of 89,848.74kg ivory from registered government-owned stocks.

CoP15 Proposal 5 (Zambia) To transfer the population of Zambia from Appendix I to Appendix II, and a one-off sale of 21,692.23 kg of ivory from registered government-owned stocks.

IEF (International Elephant Foundation) VIEW:

The consensus view of all the delegates was that the current 9 year moratorium on ivory sales should be maintained, and should be applied to all elephant populations. Furthermore, the delegates would be opposed to any further downlisting of any elephant population at this time.

.....

BACKGROUND

The purpose of the nine-year ivory moratorium approved at CoP14 in 2007 was to provide CITES Parties with a 'resting period' from debate on this issue – a period that is needed to tighten enforcement relating to poaching, illegal international trade and domestic ivory markets; to enable Parties to monitor the effect that a moratorium would have on illegal trade; and to enhance efforts to increase international awareness about restrictions on international ivory trade.

Although the footnote approved at CoP14 is restricted to those populations already on Appendix II, all Parties present at the lengthy and difficult negotiations should recall the spirit of what was finally agreed – that there should be no further trade proposals for at least nine years.

The proposals from Tanzania and Zambia, which seek to transfer their elephant populations from Appendix I to Appendix II, with a one-off sale of ivory of 89,848.74 kg (for Tanzania) and 21,692.23 kg (for Zambia), completely undermine the spirit of this agreement, and render a valuable compromise entirely ineffective. If they are accepted, they may set a precedent for further downlisting proposals at the next CoP. The widely-supported "resting period" will, in effect, never have happened.

Prop 6, submitted by Congo, Ghana, Kenya, Liberia, Mali, Rwanda and Sierra Leone, on the other hand, seeks to ensure that the spirit of the agreement at CoP14 is adequately reflected in the footnote to the listing of the African elephant; some suggest it would make more sense to revert to the original agreement that everyone endorsed at the last CITES meeting for a 9 year moratorium.

ILLEGAL TRADE STILL POSES A SERIOUS THREAT.

Widespread poaching continues across Africa, and remains a serious threat to the long-term survival of some elephant populations. Poaching in Chad, for example, has reduced the population of Zakouma National Park from 3,885 in 2005 to just 617 in 2009. In September/October 2009 poachers killed the entire elephant herd in Sierra Leone's only wildlife park. In Nigeria's Sambisa National Reserve the population of elephants is also believed to have been lost entirely.

There has been a significant and alarming volume of illegal ivory seized since CoP14, with all four sub-Saharan regions being affected. Several large seizures between January and November 2009, totaling more than 20,000kg, indicate that large, sophisticated criminal syndicates are involved in the trade.

Considerable quantities of ivory continue to be offered for sale in domestic markets, and prices are increasing.

ENFORCEMENT PROBLEMS IN ZAMBIA AND TANZANIA ARE OF SIGNIFICANT CONCERN.

The proposals from Tanzania and Zambia contain little information concerning elephant poaching in those countries. However, a significant proportion (totaling **11,678kg**) of ivory known to be seized in 2009 is reported to have originated in Tanzania. In addition, DNA analyses have identified both countries as sources of large amounts of illegal ivory.

DNA analysis undertaken by Professor Sam Wasser at the University of Washington has identified the Selous Ecosystem in Tanzania (spilling over to Niassa Game Reserve in Mozambique) as the source of 5.2 metric tons of ivory seized in Taiwan and 2.6 tons of ivory seized in Hong Kong in 2006 (Wasser *et al.* 2009).

The ETIS report prepared for CITES CoP15 (CoP15 Doc. 44.1 Annex) notes that Tanzania "remains heavily involved in the movement of large-scale consignments of illicit ivory", with 69% of the trade by weight involving large-scale ivory seizures, indicating the presence of active and entrenched "organized criminal syndicates." ETIS has received only four records of elephant product seizure cases since 2007, and since 2003 "only one out of the last eight (soon to be nine) large-scale ivory consignments have been interdicted before export by the Tanzanian authorities themselves." The ETIS Report concludes that, "such events, by definition, are suggestive of organised crime and the ability of the country to meet this challenge seems to have become significantly compromised."

Tanzania's enforcement failures also affect other range States across the continent. The ETIS Report states that "Tanzania clearly functions as an important transit country, with its ports of Dar es Salaam and Tanga in particular providing access to global markets for illicit ivory that is likely to originate, at least in some part, from other neighbouring countries in Africa, especially Central Africa."

Regarding Zambia, a 2007 study (Wasser *et al.*) identified Zambia as the source of an illegal shipment of 532 large tusks seized in Singapore and, the results of a 2008 study (Wasser *et al.*) suggest that 42,000 ivory hankos that were part of the same shipment, also originated in Zambia. The tusks and hankos totaled more than 6,500kg.

The ETIS report notes that Zambia belongs to a group of countries "linked to large-scale ivory seizure events, indicating that highly organised criminal activity is a major feature of ivory movements

involving this group". The country is "currently active in the illicit ivory trade" and "illicit ivory trade remains a persistent challenge". Ivory from Zambia is "usually" illegally exported to neighboring Malawi. Even the then Director of the Zambia Wildlife Authority, Dr. Saiwana, recently acknowledged that elephant poaching in Zambia had become a problem (*The World Today*, Friday 16th October 2009).

Clearly, neither Tanzania nor Zambia meet the precautionary requirements in Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP14) Annex 4, paragraphs A) 2) (b), which require that Parties be satisfied that a range State seeking a downlisting from Appendix I is properly implementing the requirements of CITES and has "appropriate enforcement controls and compliance with the requirements of the Convention". Neither do they meet the specific requirements of Resolution Conf. 10.9 on Consideration of Proposals for the Transfer of African Elephant Populations from Appendix I to Appendix II requiring Parties to take into account "the affected range State's ability to manage and conserve its population effectively" and its "ability to control trade in elephant ivory".

Dr. Harald M. Schwammer

Deputy Director, Zoological Curator – Vienna Zoo
Chair of African Elephant EEP
Chair of GEMP - Global Elephant Management Program
Board member of Committee of IEF - International Elephant Foundation
EEP Studbook Keeper for African Elephants

Vienna Zoo - Tiergarten Schoenbrunn

Maxingstrasse 13b
A-1130 Vienna, Austria, EUROPE
phone: +43 1 877 92 94-266; fax: +43 1 877 96 41
email: h.schwammer@zoovienna.at
www.zoovienna.at
www.gemp.at
www.aserc.org